

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
 'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji – Goa

Appeal No 247/2018/SIC-I

Shri Nevil Furtardo
 House No. 51, Copelwaddo,
 Sernabatim, Salcete Goa.

....Appellant

V/s

1) The Public Information Officer (PIO),
 O/o the Sub-Divisional Police Officer,
 Margao Goa.

2) The First Appellate Authority (FAA),
 Office of the Superintendent of Police,
 South-Goa, Margao-Goa

....Respondents

CORAM: Smt. Pratima K. Vernekar, State Information Commissioner

Filed on:19/10/2018
Decided on:19/11/2018

ORDER

1. The brief facts of the present appeal are that the appellant Shri Nevil Furtardo by his application dated 12/7/2018 had sought for certain information on two points as stated therein from the Respondent No. 1 PIO of the Office of Deputy Superintendent of Police (South), Margao, Salcete, Goa.
2. The said application was responded by the Respondent No.1 PIO on 19/7/2018 thereby denying the information to the appellant on the ground that the information sought by him i.e inward registered and local application register of Margao Town Police Station contains official entries of which some are confidential and related to smoothly functioning of Margao Town Police Station. In the said reply he was requested to ask for specific information which is related to him or any other by name.
3. Being not satisfied with the reply of respondent No. 1 PIO the first appeal came to be filed by the appellant on 21/8/2018 in terms of

section 19(1) of RTI Act, 2005 before the Respondent No. 2 Superintendent of Police, South Goa at Margao, being first appellate authority and the Respondent No.2 first appellate authority by order dated 20/9/2018 disposed the same by directing the PIO to furnish the appellant the relevant extract of inward registered and local application register of Margao Town Police Station for the period from 13/1/2017 to 17/1/2017 pertaining to the case of the appellant , if available , within 10 days of the receipt of the order .

4. It is the contention of the appellant that in pursuant to the order of the Respondent No. 2 First appellate authority , Respondent No. 1 PIO provided him information vide their forwarding letter dated 25/10/2018. However according to appellant, the information as was sought by him was not furnished to him by PIO and it is his contention that the information which was furnished to him on 25/10/2018 was not to his satisfaction and as per his requirement.
5. Being aggrieved by the order of first appellate authority dated 20/9/2018 and being not satisfied with the information furnished to him vide forwarding letter dated 25/10/2018, the appellant has approached this commission by way of present second appeal as contemplated U/s 19(3) of RTI Act, thereby seeking relief of directions as against Respondent PIO for furnishing the information and for seeking compensation .
6. The notices were issued to both the parties. In pursuant to which appellant was present. Respondent PIO was represented by Shri Suraj Gauns (PSI), who filed reply of PIO on 19/11/2018. The copy of the same was furnished to the appellant .
7. In the course of the proceedings the appellant submitted that the respondent PIO has furnished him information on 15/11/2018 as per his requirement and as such he is not interested in pursuing the present appeal and desire to withdraw the same. Accordingly he endorsed his say on the memo of appeal .

8. In view of his submission and endorsement made by the appellant, I find no reason to proceed with the matter. As such the appeal stands disposed as withdrawn.

Proceedings closed.

Notify the parties.

Authenticated copies of the Order should be given to the parties free of cost.

Aggrieved party if any may move against this order by way of a Writ Petition as no further Appeal is provided against this order under the Right to Information Act 2005.

Pronounced in the open court.

Sd/-

(Ms. Pratima K. Vernekar)
State Information Commissioner
Goa State Information Commission,
Panaji-Goa